# 0305. 4<sup>th</sup> Progress Report on the Consortium Programme (Mar 1997)

This Report begins with a Resume on Membership & Coverage, Meetings & Training, CCFs, Sangha Funds, Sangha Tax, DLDP, and ends with a reflection on Membership & coverage. After that, Sections, "A" through "D" contain data on the 4 Extension Taluks.

#### MEMBERSHIP & COVERAGE

| 1st 3 year Formation phase     | 52          |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 2nd 3 year Formalisation phase | 313         |  |
| 3rd 3 year Consolidation phase | 89          |  |
| Dropped out Villages           | 98          |  |
| Newscal Marchay Familia        | 10.745      |  |
| Normal Member Families         | 12,745      |  |
| Cancelled Members              | 6,610       |  |
| Women Memberships              | 2,718 (21%) |  |
|                                |             |  |
| Coverage of Village Population | 35%         |  |
| Adults                         | 20,982      |  |
| Minors                         | 29,211      |  |

There are now 12,745 active Member families in 454 functioning CSUs in the 4 Extension Taluks. When compared with figures in the last Progress Report, there is a drop of 573 families and 32 village CSUs in the past 6 months. This, in spite of our best efforts to prevent cancellation and woo back the dropped CSUs.

- Our efforts to stabilise the membership have been largely successful in the older villages of the erstwhile ICCO Area (except at Chickballapur) where membership is determined through the declaration of family income and paying of Sangha Tax. Our position of 1 year back that a mature membership in the erstwhile ICCO Area will stabilise in spite of initial setbacks has been partially vindicated. When compared to 2,419 families who declared their 1995 income, 2,422 have declared their 1996 income. This figure is bound to rise in the coming months, especially at Chickballapur Taluk, with more than 100 Member families and 6 CSUs permanently returning.
- The newer CSUs of Chickballapur as well as Julapalya seem to be going through a lot of throes (a sifting of those who genuinely want to build independent people's organisation from those who are not quite so sure) and as a result there is considerable movement in membership.

### Gain/Loss in Membership

|                       | Icco Area<br>(based on paying Sangha Tax) | Novib & EZE<br>Areas | Nett Change |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-------------|
| Chickballapur         | – 134                                     | - 166                | - 300       |
| Chintamani            | + 15                                      | - 5                  | + 10        |
| Siddalaghatta         | + 61                                      | - 58                 | + 3         |
| Julapalya & Mittemari | + 61                                      | - 347                | - 286       |
| Total                 | + 3                                       | - 576                | - 573       |

There has, however, been no substantial change in the composition of the current Membership. But, as is to be expected, there is a fall in the ethnic coverage across all 3 sections of SC/ST, middle and forward castes.

|        | Percent in CSUs | Caste Group             | Total<br>Families | Ethnic<br>Cover |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 7,671  | 60%             | Scheduled Castes/Tribes | 17,416            | 44%             |
| 2,716  | 21%             | Middle Castes           | 7,103             | 39%             |
| 2,358  | 19%             | Forward Castes          | 11,824            | 20%             |
| 12,745 | 100%            |                         | 36,343            | 35%             |

#### 2. ISSUES & STRUGGLES

The past 6 months saw it's fair share of minor and major land and other issues. But we have decided not to list them out in this Progress Report. The CSUs tackled a wide variety of problems and we rendered Legal Aid and Aid Distress. Similarly, the Coolie Sangha has been quite successful in mobilising government benefits and detailed Taluk-wise lists are given in the Annexures.

#### MEETINGS & TRAINING

All the village Meetings were conducted during this reporting period. Field Workers and Mahila Trainers continued to go to the villages every day and help conduct Cluster, CSU and Mahila Meetings. The regularity of Cluster Meets has stabilised at between 65% and 75%. There has been a definite improvement in the newer CSUs after having pointed out their laxity in the previous Progress Report.

Every single Taluk Coolie Sangha Meeting was held during the past 6 months and the quality of discussions has been very high. So too the responsibility shown by elected Cluster Secretaries in their fortnightly Executive Committee Meetings.

Special training was given to CSU Representatives and village functionaries on the DLDP. Coolie women were given special training on the use of their decentralised budgets. A whole lot of special Meetings were held to reflect on various issues. These turned out to be lengthy but highly rewarding exercises.

Long term continuity of efforts initiated by us has already become the litmus test of success for Coolies in the erstwhile ICCO Area. They do not overtly concern themselves with short term project objectives, though they are fully aware of them.

The most important Staff OD exercises that were conducted were on gender, agriculture, and operational perspective. 5 exercises are noteworthy:

- In October 1996 we brought out our Gender Policy Paper.
- In November 1997 we brought out the 1st Draft of our Agriculture Strategy Paper
- A questionnaire sent by Ms. Jacqueline Vel of ICCO provoked tremendous discussion on gender and economics. Answering these "spearhead questions" required a lot of conceptual clarity, honest introspection, and the ability to link pieces into a holistic total.
- A suggestion made in the annual Consortium Meeting that we reflect on the long term future of ADATS and the Coolie Sangha and develop an Operational Perspective generated a lot of valuable discussion in the last weeks of December 1996 and early 1997.
- Another suggestion was made in the Consortium Meeting to study Dropouts and Cancellations. We are working on this major investigation, concentrating

mainly on the older Areas of Bagepalli Taluk from where ADATS has withdrawn.

#### 4. COOLIE CREDIT FUNDS

The CCFs have seen a healthy maturing during this reporting period. Repayment Rates are over 90% and Overdue contained to just 6%. The spot position on CCF bank balances is still high. This is because Crop Loans have just come in and preparations are on for further lending. The stage has been set, in the older CSUs of the erstwhile ICCO Area, for a much larger utilisation of CCF capitals. Cattle and crop still vie with each other as the most popular purpose.

| Pose.   |   |                |  |        |          |  |
|---|---|----------------|--|--------|----------|--|
| Total CCF Capital   |   | F              | Rs 260,79,651.70   | (100%) |          |  |
| Total of Good Loans   |   |                | 53,20,242.10   | 20%    |          |  |
| Total of Overdue 1 to 6 Months Late 7 to 12 Months Late Over 1 Year Late                            | 7,99,845.00<br>1,56,090.00<br>7,18,684.00 | 3%<br>0%<br>2% | 16,74,619.00   | 6%     |          |  |
| CCF Bank Balances   |   |                | 190,84,790.60  | 73%    |          |  |
| Cumulative loans given<br>Number of loans<br>Average borrowing<br>Repayment Rate<br>Capital at Risk |   | F              | Rs 176,04,670.00<br>10,562<br>Rs 1,666.79<br>90.49%<br>6.42% |        |          |  |
| Utilisation pattern   |   | Amount borro   | wed  | No o   | of loans |  |
| Crop Loan   |   | 45,85,995.00   | 26%  | 4,491  | 42%      |  |
| Agriculture   |   | 14,26,503.00   | 8%   | 599    | 5%       |  |
| Cattle  |   | 86,96,278.00   | 49%  | 3,450  | 32%      |  |
| Trade & Entrepreneurship  |   | 26,90,554.00   | 15%  | 1,674  | 15%      |  |
| Consumption & others  |   | 2,05,340.00    | 1%   | 348    | 3%       |  |
| Total   |   | 176,04,670.00  |  | 10,562 |          |  |

#### COOLIE WOMEN

#### 5.1. Mahila Meetings

All the 454 Mahila Meetings have been conducted with a very high degree of punctuality during this reporting period. The *Vokkaku Sanchi Duddu* was restarted in all the villages and Coolie Women continued to operate their decentralised Health Budget. Our Staff problem has finally got solved and we have capable Mahila Trainers in all 4 Extensions. The best way to describe the past 6 months is that quiet efforts were on to strengthen the Coolie Women's fora.

The recommendations of the Gender Policy adopted in October 1996 were scrupulously implemented. Anti-alcoholism was a major concern and strict checks were placed on drinking and related problems. Even 2 otherwise effective Area Field Workers at Chintamani were not spared. While one has been warned to mend his ways, the other was summarily dismissed. The fact that he had worked for 3 years as a VLW, 1 year as Cluster Secretary, 1 year Taluk Secretary and 3 more as Area Field Worker did not matter. Yet another DLDP Field Worker was dismissed for petty politicking and slanderous talk.

It was decided to set up a "Women's Fund" in all the 4 Taluks with elected Coolie Women from each Cluster forming committees at each Taluk. The objective of this Fund is to support landless female headed households, using all and every development instrument available with ADATS and the Coolie Sangha.

Though the word "Fund" is used in the title of this scheme, it is not just a mechanism to disburse moneys. It is fully recognised that a healthy mixture of non-material and material interventions are needed to address the problems of landless female headed households. The title has been deliberately so worded because the need of the hour is that a serious financial commitment needs to be made to address concrete material problems of Coolie Women. While addressing socio-political and cultural aspects of the empowerment of Coolie Women, our policy should also be seen to have tangible and effective instruments to translate intent into results.

### 5.2. Children's programme

9,801 children continued to be supported to go to school by 607 Mahila Meetings. These numbers have not changed since the last Progress Report, but will do so in the coming months of May and June 1997 when marks cards and promotion details are entered.

The Mahila Meetings have closely supervised school attendance of both, children as well as government school teachers. They have had many a skirmish with teachers who refused to take their jobs seriously even just before annual examinations.

|                | Chickballapur | Chintamani | Siddalaghatta | Julapalya | Total |  |
|----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-----------|-------|--|
| Villages       | 188           | 285        | 74            | 60        | 607   |  |
| Supported      | 3,428         | 2,697      | 2,127         | 1,549     | 9,801 |  |
| Dropped        | 1,012         | 946        | 983           | 693       | 3,634 |  |
| Primary School | 1,821         | 1,543      | 1,186         | 827       | 5,377 |  |
| Middle School  | 1,031         | 751        | 627           | 447       | 2,856 |  |
| High School    | 575           | 403        | 312           | 278       | 1,568 |  |
| Girls          | 1,510         | 1,144      | 944           | 678       | 4,276 |  |
| Boys           | 1,918         | 1,553      | 1,183         | 871       | 5,525 |  |

But academics is still a problem even when classes are regularly conducted (which they are not). First generation children whose parents are illiterate do not derive the same benefits from schooling as those whose mothers can coach at home and supervise their studies. The CSU Teachers appointed by the Mahila Meetings are not quite up to the mark. While their commitment cannot be questioned, basic education and teaching skills are abysmal. Quite propitiously, a solution is in sight.

Save the Children New Zealand, has come forward to support ADATS in a brand new Taluk, Gudibanda. One of the activities being undertaken is the setting up of Balakendra Teacher Training. We believe that the services of this facility can be made available to the 600 CSU Teachers also, and hope to see an improvement in teaching/learning standards in the coming academic year.

The Mahila Meetings have been persuaded not to add any more new children into the programme. This is because we have made a commitment to so many children and they have to be seen through their entire schooling (1st Class to 10th Class). We realise that there will be no dearth of children wanting to enter the programme into the 1st Class but this has to be stopped (except of course in the erstwhile EZE Area where the programme is yet to start).

The numbers, for example, will definitely reduce by 438, which is the strength of children who have just finished their 10th Class. Similarly, a substantial portion of the 737 children who have just finished 7th Class will also drop out since pass percentage in these public examinations (where school teachers have no say in promotions) has been a dismal 25%.

#### **Class wise Analysis**

| Taluk/Area                   | I   | II    | III   | IV    | V     | VI  | VII | VIII | IX  | Х   |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|
| Chickballapur                | 265 | 501   | 528   | 527   | 442   | 328 | 261 | 220  | 208 | 147 |
| Chintamani                   | 248 | 509   | 444   | 342   | 329   | 226 | 196 | 172  | 127 | 104 |
| Siddalaghatta<br>Julapalya & | 220 | 316   | 317   | 333   | 266   | 202 | 159 | 152  | 81  | 79  |
| Mittemari                    | 137 | 255   | 226   | 209   | 159   | 162 | 121 | 110  | 60  | 108 |
| Total                        | 870 | 1,581 | 1,515 | 1,411 | 1,196 | 918 | 737 | 654  | 476 | 438 |

#### SANGHA FUNDS

From a figure of Rs 68 lakhs recorded in the previous Progress Report, Sangha Funds further grew to almost Rs 79 lakhs. This very healthy increase of 16% in 6 months is definitely a statement towards the permanence and posterity of the independent Coolie Sangha. An approximate break-up shows that contributions have come from 5 sources:

- Rs 3.75 lakhs through Sangha Tax
- Rs 2.05 lakhs through 10% contributions made by CCF borrowers
- Rs 1.93 lakhs through *Hundi* collections made during DLDP works
- Rs 2.38 lakhs being interest earned from earlier Fixed Deposits
- Rs 0.89 lakhs from general *Hundi* collections

Village Fixed Deposits32,82,538.00Village SB Accounts35,08,694.45Taluk Main Fixed Deposits2,81,464.00Taluk Main SB A/cs7,81,252.95

Taluk Main SB A/cs 7,81,252.95 Rs 78,53,949.40

Unlike last time, the present increase hides an additional mobilisation of about Rs 4 lakhs which is the approximate total of the amounts withdrawn by the Mahila Meetings from the CSU bank accounts to re-start the *Vokkaku Sanchi Duddu* activities.

#### SANGHA TAX

Membership in the older village CSUs of the erstwhile ICCO Area continued to be determined through the declaration of family incomes and paying of Sangha Tax. Though ADATS had not exactly encouraged the self-finance decision taken by CSUs which were still in the build up stage, we realised that once committed, they had little choice but to continue.

The process is not yet over at the time of writing this Progress Report. Coolies will continue to pay their Tax for some more months to come. So we have had to take the number of families who declared their incomes as a basis for calculating membership figures.

The average income declared in 1996 has shown a quantum jump of 26% from the previous year. This should have an identical consequence for the volume of Tax collected.

| INCOME DECLARED                              | 1994 <sup>1</sup> | 1995      | 1996      |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Number of Families who Declared their Income | 215               | 2,419     | 2,422     |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.              | 1125%     | 100%      |
| Total Income Declared                        | 1,095,443         | 7,893,910 | 9,934,282 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.              | 721%      | 126%      |
| Average Income Declared                      | Rs 5,095          | Rs 3,263  | Rs 4,102  |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.              | 64%       | 126%      |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Just 1 Cluster in Julapalya Area (which is a part of Bagepalli Taluk) decided to emulate the practice in the older villages from where ADATS withdrew in 1995. Therefore this extra column of data.

| TAX PAID                                     | 1995   | 1996    | 1997    |
|--|--------|---------|---------|
| Number of families who paid their Sangha Tax | 188    | 2,334   | 1,901   |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.   | 1241%   | 81%     |
| Declared/Paid                                | 87%    | 96%     | 78%     |
| Total Sangha Tax paid                        | 53,647 | 584,076 | 374,301 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.   | 1089%   | 64%     |
| Average Sangha Tax paid                      | Rs 285 | Rs 250  | Rs 197  |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.   | 88%     | 79%     |
| Actual Tax Paid / Income                     | 5.6%   | 7.7%    | 4.8%    |

But as at 31 March 1997, only 78% of those who had declared their annual incomes have paid up. Moreover, only 64% of the previous year's collections have been achieved. This is because many CSUs have decided to pay their Taxes in 2 instalments. As a result, actual Tax paid is only 4.8% of declared income, in spite of Taluk Coolie Sangha decisions to pay 10%.

As already mentioned, the average income of families who declared their annual income rose from Rs 3,263 to Rs 4,102. It would be wrong to look at these figures as an accurate economic indicator of family welfare for 2 reasons:

- The figures are subject to a universal phenomenon of understating income when it comes to paying Tax, and
- 2 successive years are far too feeble a period to assess any chance in either mood (level of honesty) or economic development.

But 2 extremely important points must, at the same time, be borne in mind:

- We are speaking of the same batch of families, and
- The socio-economic and cultural conditions, as also the positioning of the NGO *vis-à-vis* the Coolie Sangha, are almost identical during the 2 years being studied, except perhaps for a maturing in the depth of our mutual relationship.

So, without making any definite conclusions, we merely observe the following facts:

- The number of families whose annual incomes fall in the > Rs 4,000 bracket seems to have substantially increased from 20.4% to 34.2%.
- The number of families who fall in the total destitution bracket of < Rs 3,000 family income has decreased from 55.9% to 43.7%.

| PATTERN OF INCOME DECLARED | 1994 |     | 1995  |     | 1996  |
|----------------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| Less than Rs 1,000         | -    | 260 | 10.7% | 162 | 6.7%  |
| Rs 1,001 to Rs 2,000       | 25   | 427 | 17.6% | 338 | 13.9% |
| Rs 2,001 to Rs 3,000       | 29   | 670 | 27.6% | 561 | 23.1% |
| Rs 3,001 to Rs 4,000       | 56   | 576 | 23.7% | 535 | 22.0% |
| Rs 4,001 to Rs 5,000       | 26   | 265 | 10.9% | 332 | 13.7% |
| Rs 5,001 to Rs 6,000       | 28   | 112 | 4.6%  | 197 | 8.1%  |
| Rs 6,001 to Rs 10,000      | 40   | 92  | 3.8%  | 212 | 8.7%  |
| Over Rs 10,000             | 11   | 26  | 1.1%  | 90  | 3.7%  |

### 8. DRY LAND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

DLDP Plans were generated and given to a total of 221 CSUs in the 4 Extensions by the end of February 1997. It was decided to include some CSUs from the erstwhile NOVIB Area for the sake of geographic continuity. Plans to undertake Repair & Maintenance works were given to the 35 CSUs in Bagepalli Taluk in this final year of the programme.

43 CSUs had starting problems but more than half these were quickly resolved in the Cluster Meets. After 1 full month, 19 problems are still pending and these are mostly in the newer CSUs of the erstwhile Novib Area, seriously questioning the wisdom of our decision to start the DLDP there. Yet it is too early to definitely comment. Since only a little more than 30 days of actual works have been carried out, there is hardly anything to report at this point in time.

|               | DLDP Plans | Starting<br>Problems | Resolved | Pending |
|---------------|------------|----------------------|----------|---------|
| Chickballapur | 52         | 11                   | 6        | 5       |
| Chintamani    | 76         | 8                    | 1        | 7       |
| Siddalaghatta | 54         | 14                   | 10       | 4       |
| Julapalya     | 39         | 10                   | 7        | 3       |
| Bagepalli     | 35         |                      |          |         |
| Total         | 256        | 43                   | 24       | 19      |

#### REFLECTIONS ON MEMBERSHIP & COVERAGE

4 years back, in April 1993, we cautioned ourselves<sup>2</sup> not to play the number game. Looking at only net gains and losses in Membership would hide the field reality. Let us look at several examples of the number game subtly making an inroad:

- Siddalaghatta Taluk shows a net gain of 3 Member families and this, superficially, gives room for celebration.
  But it hides an extremely vital fact that, along with a gain of 61 memberships in the older CSUs of the more mature erstwhile ICCO Area, there has been a loss of 58 Members from the newer erstwhile NOVIB Area. A still deeper scrutiny could, for example, reveal that our loss in the erstwhile NOVIB Area may be even higher, and this may have been hidden by new Members joining the yet newer Sadali Area.
- 21% of the total membership is in the names of Coolie Women. This, in itself, is a very healthy sign. The figure has, moreover, remained steady and growing. Certainly reason for festivity.
  - But are they <u>the very same women</u> who dared to take up family responsibilities by defying their menfolk, becoming managers of their households, and insisting upon representing their families in the Coolie Sangha? Or is the actual composition of these "Women Membership" figures constantly fluctuating? We may be under the impression that it is the former. But this is something that needs to be constantly borne in mind, verified and pursued.

<sup>2</sup> Self criticism and occasional notes of caution addressed to ourselves, as contained in these 6 monthly reflections, have come to play a vital role in ADATS and the Coolie Sangha. Throughout the 8 year history of the Extension Programmes, we have found that the mature mentioning of a problem inevitably leads to all the Staff and functionaries working hard to rectify it in the shortest time possible.

7

Because of similarities between gender and ethnicity, an identical question can be posed with regard to the SC/ST coverage.

9.801 Coolie children are being supported to go to government schools in and around their villages. Illiteracy and a lack of education in the poor, a hallmark of their lives, seems poised to disappear within a generation. But are the same individual children being supported from Class 1 to 10? 3,634 children are dropped out. Are they all students who have passed out after finishing their schooling? Or does the figure include those who have been forced to discontinue? Is each village CSU simply filling up the vacancies with newer, younger children, and maintaining the total number to hover around an impressive total of 10,000?

Paying attention to these questions by raising them and coming up with concrete instruments to prevent an abstraction of our work is a responsible FIELD DIMENSION to our work. Good management practices that stem from openness, accountability and an unswerving commitment to the individual poor (without abstracting her into the common denominator of a mass) can resolve the problem.

Let us now look at the same examples in a slightly different light:

- The Siddalaghatta Staff and functionaries have managed to keep membership figures constant and even growing. They have prevented other indicators from falling too much. The total membership is 2,078 Member families (1,618 a year back), and population coverage is now at 35% (37% a year back). In the Ryot's perception the poor are growing from strength to strength. They cannot be taken for granted as in the days of yore. The myth or general perception is one of power in numbers, and this influences them to stand off, without unnecessary interference in affairs of the poor.
- Women Membership has grown from 19% a year back to a present level of 16% 23% in the different Taluks. This has resulted in a visible presence of women in various fora at the village, Cluster and Taluk levels.

  The Coolie Sangha is the only body which gives a gender bias free recognition to women in the region. If an outside woman, be she Ryot or Coolie, wants to rebel and assert her position, the Mahila Meetings are her sole and only role Model to face her husband/chaperon and say, "Enough! So many women are freely moving around. They travel, represent problems and speak their minds out in large gatherings of women and men. I too am going to do the same!" Our work with Coolie Women sets a pace for women as a whole.

The very fact that almost 10,000 children flock the schools instead of running wild in the streets or working as bonded labourers creates a certain mien which just cannot be ignored or understated.

Less than a decade back, it was a social crime for a Harijana child to go to school. It bespoke of the unbridled arrogance of it's parents as persons who had "forgotten their place in village society". Till a couple of years back, the girl child who went to school after the age of puberty was considered wicked and amoral; whose parents had given up on her. The Right of the Child has suddenly begun to be recognised.

This larger presence obtained through sheer numbers and stark visibility provides a serious POLITICAL DIMENSION to the same questions. This cannot be ignored. The reality is that we are now a big organisation. ADATS and the Coolie Sangha have a very wide coverage and felt presence in the region. We are no more well intentioned social workers pottering about to salve our consciences. Neither are the Coolies participants in an interesting experiment, only

mildly concerned with the outcome. We have grown beyond a localised activist group and now have a responsibility to influencing the region as a whole. What we project or fail to propound has definite repercussion on the lives of an entire caste-class in north Kolar district, and in some instances even on other sections of the population; indeed on the polity of the region as a whole. We need to visibly demonstrate a position based on a set of consciously chosen principles – be it on gender, ethnicity, grassroots participation, democracy or child rights.

These 2 dimensions to the question of membership and coverage – Field and Political – should not be viewed as a mutually exclusive contradiction. There is no irreconcilable dilemma once we recognise our responsibilities. The paradoxical truth is that in the larger organisational context are situated the smaller concerns (to work with the same people and achieve a continuity in results without falling trap to the number game). The demands on ADATS Staff and Coolie Sangha functionaries are manifold, complex and demand a terrific maturity. We have no easy and convenient way out. We have to, in this instance, place the field dimension within the political one and strive to improve the larger picture through individual brush strokes.

Coolies, in their innate wisdom, recognise the need to deal with both. When they finally reconcile to loosing some Members, it is not without having pondered on the consequences. Many in the older and more mature erstwhile ICCO Area give the impression of having grown beyond isolated adventurism and parochial interest. They seem to project and protect an institutional identity. They suspect an ability to positively effect the normative value base of village society and do not want to miss the opportunity.

Finally, we have to confess that fears expressed a year back, that the Extensions could degenerate to a mere copycat Model, have turned out to be totally baseless insofar as the Coolies and their CSUs are concerned. Perhaps it was an underlying bureaucratic mood that we suspected in ourselves, Staff and development workers, that prompted those worries.

## SECTION "A": CHICKBALLAPUR DATA

## A.1. Membership Figures

|                      |                 | Last Report             | Т     | his Report        |                 |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Total Villages       |                 | 146                     |       | 147               |                 |
| 1st 3 year Formation | n phase         | 4                       |       | 4                 |                 |
| 2nd 3 year Formalis  | sation phase    | 80                      |       | 78                |                 |
| 3rd 3 year Consolid  | ation phase     | 39                      |       | 33                |                 |
| Dropped out Village  | es .            | 23                      |       | 32                |                 |
| Normal Member Fa     | milies          | 3,232                   |       | 2,932             |                 |
| Cancelled Members    | 3               | 1,589                   |       | 1,999             |                 |
| Women Membershi      | ps              | 705                     | (21%) | 652               | (22%)           |
| Coverage of Village  | Population      | 37%                     | ó     | 32%               | 6               |
| Adults               |                 | 6,379                   |       | 5,517             |                 |
| Minors               |                 | 7,682                   |       | 7,142             |                 |
| Caste Group Comp     | position        |                         |       |                   |                 |
| Member<br>Families   | Percent in CSUs | Caste Group             |       | Total<br>Families | Ethnic<br>Cover |
| 1,842                | 62%             | Scheduled Castes/Tribes |       | 4,448             | 41%             |
| 371                  | 12%             | Middle Castes           |       | 1,143             | 32%             |
| 719                  | 24%             | Forward Castes          |       | 3,260             | 22%             |
| 2,932                | 100%            |                         |       | 8,851             | 33%             |

## A.2. Families who got government benefits

|  | Icco Area     | Novib Area    |  |
|--|---------------|---------------|--|
| Houses under various schemes                 | 40            | 101           |  |
| House sites                                  | _             | 84            |  |
| Mini water supplies                          | 3 Villages    | _             |  |
| IRDP loans                                   | 18            | 56            |  |
|  | (Rs 1,44,000) | (Rs 5,60,000) |  |
| Dairy Loan                                   | 6             | <del>-</del>  |  |
|  | (Rs 48,000)   |               |  |
| Pitching works                               | 8 Villages    | 21 Villages   |  |
|  | (366 metres)  | (650 metres)  |  |
| Drainage                                     | 500 metres    | <del>-</del>  |  |
| Old age pensions                             | 13            | _             |  |
| Water man Job                                | 1             | 1             |  |
| Street lights                                | _             | 14            |  |
| Bhagyajothi electric connection              | 20 houses     | 64 houses     |  |
| Primary Schools                              | 1             | 6             |  |
| Mini Dairy                                   | _             | 1             |  |
| Tools for Artisans                           | <del>-</del>  | 7             |  |
| Saguvadi Chittis (free title deeds for land) | 8             | 16            |  |
|  | (8 acres)     | (8 acres)     |  |

## A.3. Regularity of Cluster Meets

| Area       | Clusters | Total<br>Meetings | Regular   | Irregular | Cancelled |
|------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Icco Area  | 11       | 286               | 201 (70%) | 51 (18%)  | 34 (12%)  |
| Novib Area | 14       | 364               | 264 (73%) | 57 (16%)  | 43 (12%)  |

### A.4. Coolie Credit Funds (CCFs)

| Total                                | 55,19,      | 650.00   |     | 3               | 3,058  |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----|-----------------|--------|-------|
| Consumption & others                 |             | 550.00   | 2%  |                 | 243    | 7%    |
| Trade & Entrepreneurship             |             | 825.00   | 10% |                 | 309    | 10%   |
| Cattle                               |             | 800.00   | 59% | 1               | 1,188  | 38%   |
| Agriculture                          |             | 450.00   | 11% |                 | 273    | 8%    |
| Crop Loan                            | 9,03,       | 025.00   | 16% | 1               | 1,045  | 34%   |
| Utilisation pattern                  | Amoui       | nt borro | wed |                 | No of  | loans |
| Capital at Risk                      |             |          |     | 5.87%           | 6      |       |
| Repayment Rate                       |             |          |     | 90.93%          | 6      |       |
| Average borrowing                    |             |          |     | Rs 1,804.99     |        |       |
| Number of loans                      |             |          |     | 3,058           |        |       |
| Cumulative loans given               |             |          |     | Rs 55,19,650.00 |        |       |
| CCF Bank Balances in the Taluk       |             |          |     | 62,77,893.30    | 73%    |       |
| Over 1 Year Late                     | 85,505.00   | 1%       |     |                 |        |       |
| 7 to 12 Months Late                  | 89,050.00   | 1%       |     |                 |        |       |
| 1 to 6 Months Late                   | 3,26,125.00 | 3%       |     |                 |        |       |
| Total of Overdue in the Taluk        |             |          |     | 5,00,680.00     | 5%     |       |
| Total of Good loans in the Taluk     |             |          |     | 17,54,475.00    | 20%    |       |
| Total CCF Capital in the Taluk       |             |          |     | 85,33,048.30    | (100%) |       |
| Add: Interest earned                 |             |          |     | 3,15,583.30     |        |       |
| Grants given to 147 village level Co | CFs         |          |     | Rs 82,17,465.00 |        |       |

## A.5. Sangha Funds

Village Fixed Deposits Rs 11,59,450.00
Village SB Accounts 12,12,312.90
Taluk Main Fixed Deposits 1,42,750.00

Taluk Main SB A/cs 2,13,428.30 27,27,941.20

## A.6. Sangha Tax

| PATTERN OF INCOME DECLARED | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |       |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| Less than Rs 1,000         |      | 157  | 82   | 10.5% |
| Rs 1,001 to Rs 2,000       |      | 244  | 99   | 12.7% |
| Rs 2,001 to Rs 3,000       |      | 276  | 114  | 14.6% |
| Rs 3,001 to Rs 4,000       |      | 149  | 122  | 15.6% |
| Rs 4,001 to Rs 5,000       |      | 65   | 104  | 13.3% |
| Rs 5,001 to Rs 6,000       |      | 15   | 74   | 9.5%  |
| Rs 6,001 to Rs 10,000      |      | 10   | 116  | 14.9% |
| Over Rs 10,000             |      | 5    | 69   | 8.8%  |

| INCOME DECLARED                              | 1994 | 1995         | 1996         |
|--|------|--------------|--------------|
| Number of Families who Declared their Income |      | 914          | 780          |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 85%          |
| Total Income Declared                        |      | Rs 2,410,150 | Rs 3,861,493 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 160%         |
| Average Income Declared                      |      | Rs 2,637     | Rs 4,951     |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 188%         |
| TAX PAID                                     | 1995 | 1996         | 1997         |
| Number of families who paid their Sangha Tax |      | 913          | 506          |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 55%          |
| Declared/Paid                                |      | 100%         | 65%          |
| Total Sangha Tax paid                        |      | Rs 230,003   | Rs 92,510    |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 40%          |
| Average Sangha Tax paid                      |      | Rs 252       | Rs 183       |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 73%          |
| Actual Tax Paid / Income                     |      | 9.6%         | 3.7%         |

## SECTION "B": CHINTAMANI DATA

## B.1. Membership Figures

|                    |               | Last Report             | This Report |        |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Total Villages     |               | 233                     | 236         |        |
| 1st 3 year Formati | on phase      | 129                     | 21          |        |
| 2nd 3 year Formal  | isation phase | 62                      | 162         |        |
| 3rd 3 year Consoli | dation phase  | 26                      | 23          |        |
| Dropped out Villag | es            | 16                      | 30          |        |
| Normal Member F    | amilies       | 6,545                   | 6,555       |        |
| Cancelled Membe    | rs            | 1,910                   | 2,270       |        |
| Women Membersh     | nips          | 1,540                   | (23%) 1,521 | (23%)  |
| Coverage of Villag | e Population  | 36%                     | 35          | %      |
| Adults             |               | 10,827                  |             |        |
| Minors             |               | 15,327                  |             |        |
| Caste Group Con    | nposition     |                         |             |        |
| Member             | Percent       | Caste Group             | Total       | Ethnic |
| Families           | in CSUs       |                         | Families    | Cover  |
| 4,230              | 64%           | Scheduled Castes/Tribes | 8,797       | 48%    |
| 1,224              | 18%           | Middle Castes           | 4,108       | 29%    |
| 1,080              | 16%           | Forward Castes          | 5,637       | 19%    |
| 6,534              | 100%          |                         | 18,542      | 35%    |

## B.2. Families who got government benefits

|                                    | Icco Area  | Novib Area | EZE Area    |  |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|--|
| Houses under different schemes     | 8          | 31         | 26          |  |
| Bhagyajothi electric connection    | _          | 4          | 43          |  |
| IRDP loans                         | _          | 9          | 27          |  |
| Pitching works                     | 2 Villages | 3 Villages | 11 Villages |  |
| Pensions                           | _          | _          | 3           |  |
| Government school buildings (DDEP) | _          | 2          | _           |  |
| SC/ST Corporation Loans            | 1          | 2          | _           |  |

## B.3. Regularity of Cluster Meets

| Area       | Clusters | Total    | Regular   | Irregular | Cancelled |  |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
|            |          | Meetings |           |           |           |  |
| Icco Area  | 5        | 130      | 93 (72%)  | 17 (13%)  | 20 (15%)  |  |
| Novib Area | 9        | 234      | 153 (65%) | 45 (19%)  | 36 (15%)  |  |
| EZE Area   | 20       | 520      | 347 (67%) | 94 (18%)  | 79 (15%)  |  |

## B.4. Coolie Credit Funds (CCFs)

| D.4. Coolle Credit i unus (         | 0010)       |          |     |                 |        |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----|-----------------|--------|-------|
| Grants given to 236 village level C | CFs         |          |     | Rs 89,43,569.00 |        |       |
| Add: Interest earned                |             |          |     | 3,79,068.40     |        |       |
| Less: Safety Net                    |             |          |     | 3,100.00        |        |       |
| Total CCF Capital in the Taluk      |             |          |     | 93,19,537.40    | (100%) |       |
| Total of Good loans in the Taluk    |             |          |     | 17,40,425.00    | 18%    |       |
| Total of Overdue in the Taluk       |             |          |     | 4,84,240.00     | 5%     |       |
| 1 to 6 Months Late                  | 2,93,520.00 | 3%       |     |                 |        |       |
| 7 to 12 Months Late                 | 20,390.00   | 0%       |     |                 |        |       |
| Over 1 Year Late                    | 1,70,330.00 | 1%       |     |                 |        |       |
| CCF Bank Balances in the Taluk      |             |          |     | 70,94,872.40    | 76%    |       |
| Cumulative loans given              |             |          |     | Rs 54,30,602.00 |        |       |
| Number of loans                     |             |          |     | 3,436           |        |       |
| Average borrowing                   |             |          |     | Rs 1,580.50     |        |       |
| Repayment Rate                      |             |          |     | 91.08%          | 6      |       |
| Capital at Risk                     |             |          |     | 5.20%           | 6      |       |
| Utilisation pattern                 | Amou        | nt borro | wed |                 | No of  | loans |
| Crop Loan                           | 13,12,      | 020.00   | 24% | 1               | ,150   | 33%   |
| Agriculture                         | 3,97,       | 550.00   | 7%  |                 | 200    | 5%    |
| Cattle                              | 24,57,      | 00.00    | 45% | 1               | ,193   | 34%   |
| Trade & Entrepreneurship            | 12,37,      | 142.00   | 22% |                 | 870    | 25%   |
| Consumption & others                | 26,         | 890.00   |     |                 | 23     |       |
| Total                               | 54,30,      | 602.00   |     | 3               | 3,436  |       |

## B.5. Sangha Funds

| Village Fixed Deposits    | 7,92,450.00  |                 |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Village SB Accounts       | 12,20,226.50 |                 |
| Taluk Main Fixed Deposits | 92,672.00    |                 |
| Taluk Main SB A/cs        | 4,25,601.25  | Rs 25,30,949.75 |

## B.6. Sangha Tax

| PATTERN OF INCOME DECLARED | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |       |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| Less than Rs 1,000         |      | 44   | 57   | 10.1% |
| Rs 1,001 to Rs 2,000       |      | 45   | 119  | 21.1% |
| Rs 2,001 to Rs 3,000       |      | 118  | 173  | 30.7% |
| Rs 3,001 to Rs 4,000       |      | 202  | 129  | 22.9% |
| Rs 4,001 to Rs 5,000       |      | 70   | 52   | 9.2%  |
| Rs 5,001 to Rs 6,000       |      | 45   | 18   | 3.2%  |
| Rs 6,001 to Rs 10,000      |      | 21   | 8    | 1.4%  |
| Over Rs 10,000             |      | 4    | 7    | 1.2%  |

| INCOME DECLARED                              | 1994 | 1995         | 1996         |
|--|------|--------------|--------------|
| Number of Families who Declared their Income |      | 548          | 563          |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 103%         |
| Total Income Declared                        |      | Rs 1,952,140 | Rs 1,741,885 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 89%          |
| Average Income Declared                      |      | Rs 3,562     | Rs 3,094     |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 87%          |
| TAX PAID                                     | 1995 | 1996         | 1997         |
| Number of families who paid their Sangha Tax |      | 489          | 476          |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 97%          |
| Declared/Paid                                |      | 89%          | 85%          |
| Total Sangha Tax paid                        |      | Rs 142,259   | Rs 85,297    |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 60%          |
| Average Sangha Tax paid                      |      | Rs 291       | Rs 179       |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 62%          |
| Actual Tax Paid / Income                     |      | 8.2%         | 5.8%         |

## SECTION "C": SIDDALAGHATTA DATA

### C.1. Membership Figures

|                |                |                    | Last Report             | This Report       | 1               |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Total Villages | 3              |                    | 96                      | 105               |                 |
| 1st 3 year Fo  | rmatio         | n phase            | 19                      | 27                |                 |
| 2nd 3 year Fo  | ormalis        | sation phase       | 46                      | 40                |                 |
| 3rd 3 year Co  | onsolio        | lation phase       | 22                      | 23                |                 |
| Dropped out    |                |                    | 9                       | 15                |                 |
| Normal Memb    | ber Fa         | ımilies            | 2,075                   | 2,078             |                 |
| Cancelled Me   | ember          | S                  | 1,056                   | 1,312             |                 |
| Women mem      | bersh          | ips                | 389                     | (18%) 340         | (16%)           |
| Coverage of \  | Village        | Population         | 38%                     | 35                | %               |
| Adults         |                |                    | 3,443                   | 2,917             |                 |
| Minors         |                |                    | 3,881                   | 4,018             |                 |
| Caste Group    | Com            | position           |                         |                   |                 |
|                | nber<br>nilies | Percent<br>in CSUs | Caste Group             | Total<br>Families | Ethnic<br>Cover |
| 963            |                | 45%                | Scheduled Castes/Tribes | 2,833             | 33%             |
| 776            |                | 35%                | Middle Castes           | 1,079             | 71%             |
| 339            |                | 18%                | Forward Castes          | 1,899             | 17%             |
| 2,07           |                | 100%               |                         | 5,811             | 35%             |

## C.2. Families who got government benefits

|                                     | Icco Area    | Novib Area    | Sadali Area  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Houses under different schemes      | 60           | 83            | 27           |  |
| Saguvadi Chittis (free title deeds) | 22           | 34            | 19           |  |
| IRDP loans                          | 15           | 20            | 1            |  |
|                                     | (Rs 90,000)  | (Rs 1,60,000) | (Rs 9,000)   |  |
| Pitching works                      | 5 Villages   | 2 Villages    | 2 Villages   |  |
|                                     | (550 metres) | (250 metres)  | (500 metres) |  |
| Pensions                            | 29           | 29            | 39           |  |
| Bhagyajothi electric connection     | 42           | 70            | 9            |  |
| Mini Water Supply                   | 7 Villages   | 9 Villages    | 5 Villages   |  |

## C.3. Regularity of Cluster Meets

| Area                 | Clusters | Total    | Regular   | Irregular | Cancelled |  |
|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
|                      |          | Meetings |           |           |           |  |
| Erstwhile Icco Area  | 7        | 182      | 115 (63%) | 47 (26%)  | 20 (11%)  |  |
| Erstwhile Novib Area | 8        | 208      | 134 (64%) | 52 (25%)  | 22 (11%)  |  |
| Sadali Area          | 7        | 107      | 69 (65%)  | 28 (26%)  | 10 (9%)   |  |

#### C.4. Coolie Credit Funds (CCFs)

| Control 405 illustration (           |             |          |     | D. 00 04 055 00 |            |       |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----|-----------------|------------|-------|--|
| Grants given to 105 village level Co | CFS         |          |     | Rs 39,31,255.00 |            |       |  |
| Add: Interest earned                 |             |          |     | 2,26,864.00     |            |       |  |
| Total CCF Capital in the Taluk       |             |          |     | 41,58,119.00    | (100%)     | )     |  |
|                                      |             |          |     |                 |            |       |  |
| Total of Good loans in the Taluk     |             |          |     | 10,54,275.00    | 25%        |       |  |
|                                      |             |          |     | 0.04.00=.00     | 00/        |       |  |
| Total of Overdue in the Taluk        |             |          |     | 2,84,285.00     | 6%         |       |  |
| 1 to 6 Months Late                   | 1,24,700.00 | 2%       |     |                 |            |       |  |
| 7 to 12 Months Late                  | 13,200.00   | 0%       |     |                 |            |       |  |
| Over 1 Year Late                     | 1,46,385.00 | 3%       |     |                 |            |       |  |
|                                      |             |          |     |                 |            |       |  |
| CCF Bank Balances in the Taluk       |             |          |     | 28,19,559.00    | 67%        |       |  |
| Cumulative loans given               |             |          |     | Rs 36,81,490.00 |            |       |  |
| Number of loans                      |             |          |     | 2,020           |            |       |  |
| Average borrowing                    |             |          |     | Rs 1,822.52     |            |       |  |
| Repayment Rate                       |             |          |     | 92.289          | <b>/</b> - |       |  |
| 7 7                                  |             |          |     | 6.849           |            |       |  |
| Capital at Risk                      |             |          |     | 0.04 /          | <b>7</b> 0 |       |  |
| Utilisation pattern                  | Amoui       | nt borro | wed |                 | No of      | loans |  |
| Crop Loan                            | 11,10,      | 600.00   | 30% |                 | 971        | 48%   |  |
| Agriculture                          | 2,49,       | 750.00   | 6%  |                 | 84         | 4%    |  |
| Cattle                               |             | 453.00   | 44% |                 | 577        | 28%   |  |
| Trade & Entrepreneurship             |             | 437.00   | 18% |                 | 364        | 18%   |  |
| Consumption & others                 |             | 250.00   |     |                 | 24         | 1%    |  |
| Total                                |             | 490.00   |     | 2               | 2,020      |       |  |

C.5. Sangha Funds
Village Fixed Deposits
Village SB Accounts
Taluk Main Fixed Deposits 5,15,914.00 7,64,737.30 46,042.00

Taluk Main SB A/cs 1,33,268.40 Rs 14,59,961.70

#### C.6. Sangha Tax

| PATTERN OF INCOME DECLARED | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |       |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| Less than Rs 1,000         |      | 19   | 5    | 1.0%  |
| Rs 1,001 to Rs 2,000       |      | 79   | 40   | 8.2%  |
| Rs 2,001 to Rs 3,000       |      | 169  | 145  | 29.8% |
| Rs 3,001 to Rs 4,000       |      | 84   | 162  | 33.3% |
| Rs 4,001 to Rs 5,000       |      | 45   | 70   | 14.4% |
| Rs 5,001 to Rs 6,000       |      | 12   | 30   | 6.2%  |
| Rs 6,001 to Rs 10,000      |      | 14   | 32   | 6.6%  |
| Over Rs 10,000             |      | 2    | 2    | 0.4%  |

| INCOME DECLARED                              | 1994 | 1995         | 1996         |
|--|------|--------------|--------------|
| Number of Families who Declared their Income |      | 424          | 485          |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 114%         |
| Total Income Declared                        |      | Rs 1,375,400 | Rs 1,844,230 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.         | 134%         |
| Average Income Declared                      |      | Rs 3,244     | Rs 3,803     |

| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.       | 117%      |
|--|------|------------|-----------|
| TAX PAID                                     | 1995 | 1996       | 1997      |
| Number of families who paid their Sangha Tax |      | 418        | 444       |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.       | 106%      |
| Declared/Paid                                |      | 99%        | 92%       |
| Total Sangha Tax paid                        |      | Rs 105,911 | Rs 82,825 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.       | 78%       |
| Average Sangha Tax paid                      |      | Rs 253     | Rs 187    |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         |      | n.a.       | 74%       |
| Actual Tax Paid / Income                     |      | 7.8%       | 4.9%      |

## SECTION "D" JULAPALYA & MITTEMARI DATA

### D.1. Membership Figures

|           |                    |                 | Last Report            | This R     | eport |              |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|-------|--------------|
| Total Vil | lages              |                 | 72                     |            | 64    |              |
| 1st 3 ye  | ar Formatio        | n phase         | 8                      |            |       |              |
| 2nd 3 ye  | ear Formalis       | sation phase    | 38                     |            | 33    |              |
| 3rd 3 ye  | ar Consolid        | lation phase    | 13                     |            | 10    |              |
| Dropped   | d out Village      | es              | 13                     |            | 21    |              |
| Normal    | Member Fa          | milies          | 1,466                  |            | 1,180 |              |
| Cancelle  | ed Members         | S               | 978                    |            | 1,021 |              |
| Women     | Membersh           | ips             | 278                    | (19%)      | 205   | (17%)        |
| Coverag   | ge of Village      | Population      | 44%                    |            | 37%   |              |
| Adults    |                    |                 | 2,022                  |            | 1,721 |              |
| Minors    |                    |                 | 2,723                  |            | 2,679 |              |
| Caste G   | Froup Com          | position        |                        |            |       |              |
|           | Member<br>Families | Percent in CSUs | Caste Group            | Tot<br>Far |       | hnic<br>over |
|           | 615                | 52%             | Scheduled Castes/Tribe | es 1,3     | 38 45 | %            |
|           | 345                | 29%             | Middle Castes          | 773        |       | %            |
|           | 220                | 18%             | Forward Castes         | 1,0        |       | %            |
|           | 1,180              | 100%            |                        | 3,1        | 39 37 | %            |

## D.2. Families who got government benefits

|   | Julapalya    | Mittemari    |  |
|---|--------------|--------------|--|
| Houses under different schemes              | 25           | 35           |  |
| House sites                                 | 20           | 25           |  |
| Pitching works                              | 1,650 meters | 2,200 meters |  |
|   | (6 villages) | (8 villages) |  |
| Coconut plants distributed                  | 1,000        | 2,500        |  |
| Mango orchard development                   | 25 acres     | 35 acres     |  |
| Saguvadi Chitti (free title deeds for land) | 15           | 30           |  |
| Trysem training                             | 7            | 10           |  |
| Pensions                                    | 5            | 12           |  |

## D.3. Regularity of Cluster Meets

|           | <i></i>  |            |          |           |           |
|-----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Area      | Clusters | Total      | Regular  | Irregular | Cancelled |
|           |          | Meetings   |          |           |           |
| Julapalya | 4        | 96 (100%)  | 68 (71%) | 20 (21%)  | 8 (8%)    |
| Mittemari | 5        | 120 (100%) | 90 (75%) | 20 (17%)  | 10 (8%)   |

#### Coolie Credit Funds (CCFs) D.4.

| D. T. Coolie Greatt and (           |             |          |     |                 |        |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----|-----------------|--------|-------|
| Grants given to 64 village level CC | Fs          |          |     | Rs 38,05,417.00 |        |       |
| Add: Interest earned                |             |          |     | 2,66,130.00     |        |       |
| Less: Safety Net                    |             |          |     | 2,600.00        |        |       |
| Total CCF Capital in the Taluk      |             |          |     | 40,68,947.00    | (100%) | )     |
| Total of Good loans in the Taluk    |             |          |     | 7,71,067.10     | 18%    |       |
| Total of Overdue in the Taluk       |             |          |     | 4,05,414.00     | 9%     |       |
| 1 to 6 Months Late                  | 55,500.00   | 1%       |     |                 |        |       |
| 7 to 12 Months Late                 | 33,450.00   | 0%       |     |                 |        |       |
| Over 1 Year Late                    | 3,16,464.00 | 7%       |     |                 |        |       |
| CCF Bank Balances in the Taluk      |             |          |     | 28,92,465.90    | 71%    |       |
| Cumulative loans given              |             |          |     | Rs 29,72,928.00 |        |       |
| Number of loans                     |             |          |     | 2,048           |        |       |
| Average borrowing                   |             |          |     | Rs 1,451.62     |        |       |
| Repayment Rate                      |             |          |     | 86.36%          | 6      |       |
| Capital at Risk                     |             |          |     | 9.96%           | 6      |       |
| Utilisation pattern                 | Amou        | nt borro | wed |                 | No of  | loans |
| Crop Loan                           | 12,60,      | 350.00   | 42% | 1               | 1,325  | 64%   |
| Agriculture                         | 1,43,       | 753.00   | 4%  |                 | 42     | 2%    |
| Cattle                              | 13,37,      | 025.00   | 44% |                 | 492    | 24%   |
| Trade & Entrepreneurship            | 1,97,       | 150.00   | 6%  |                 | 131    | 6%    |
| Consumption & others                | 34,         | 650.00   | 1%  |                 | 58     | 2%    |
| Total                               | 29,72,      | 928.00   |     | 2               | 2,048  |       |

D.5. Sangha Funds
Village Fixed Deposits
Village SB Accounts
Taluk Main SB A/cs 8,14,724.00 3,11,417.75

8,955.00 Rs 11,35,096.75

#### D.6. Sangha Tax

| PATTERN OF INCOME DECLARED                   | 1994         | 1995     | 1996 |              |
|--|--------------|----------|------|--------------|
| Less than Rs 1,000                           |              | 40       | 18   | 3.0%         |
| Rs 1,001 to Rs 2,000                         | 25           | 59       | 80   | 13.4%        |
| Rs 2,001 to Rs 3,000                         | 29           | 107      | 129  | 21.6%        |
| Rs 3,001 to Rs 4,000                         | 56           | 141      | 122  | 20.4%        |
| Rs 4,001 to Rs 5,000                         | 26           | 85       | 106  | 17.7%        |
| Rs 5,001 to Rs 6,000                         | 28           | 40       | 75   | 12.5%        |
| Rs 6,001 to Rs 10,000                        | 40           | 47       | 56   | 9.4%         |
| Over Rs 10,000                               | 11           | 15       | 12   | 2.0%         |
| INCOME DECLARED                              | 1994         | 1        | 1995 | 1996         |
| Number of Families who Declared their Income | 215          |          | 533  | 594          |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.         | 2        | 248% | 111%         |
| Total Income Declared                        | Rs 1,095,443 | Rs 2,156 | ,220 | Rs 2,486,674 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.         | 1        | 197% | 115%         |
| Average Income Declared                      | Rs 5,095     | Rs 4     | ,045 | Rs 4,186     |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.         |          | 79%  | 103%         |

| TAX PAID                                     | 1995      | 1996       | 1997       |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|
| Number of families who paid their Sangha Tax | 188       | 514        | 475        |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.      | 273%       | 92%        |
| Declared/Paid                                | 87%       | 96%        | 80%        |
| Total Sangha Tax paid                        | Rs 53,647 | Rs 105,903 | Rs 113,669 |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.      | 197%       | 107%       |
| Average Sangha Tax paid                      | Rs 285    | Rs 206     | Rs 239     |
| Increase/Decrease over previous year         | n.a.      | 72%        | 116%       |
| Actual Tax Paid / Income                     | 5.6%      | 5.1%       | 5.7%       |